

56) Additamenta to Arameo-Akkadica¹⁾ — Below I add more documentation on Aramaic loanwords in Akkadian and Akkadian loans in Aramaic (A, B), as well as more morphological comparanda. A LB term in an Aramaic milieu is discussed in section C, while lexemes (mostly adjectives) ending in *-ān* (with or without *-ī*) are analysed in D. Section E has an Akkadian compound occupational term, which is recorded in an unpublished tablet, while F is devoted to a discussion of several Akkadian names in Aramaic texts from the Neo-Babylonian, Achaemenid and early Hellenistic periods.

A. Aramaic loanwords in Akkadian

1. NB/LB *la-mu-ta-nu* (Zadok 2020c: 4) – The considerable number of the Aramaic adjectives which are spelled *QTWLtn* (see Nöldeke 1904: 78-79:129c) can be normalized as */qattūl-t-ān l*. Hence *la-mu-ta-nu* can originate from **lawwīy-t-ān* and normalized as **lawwūtān* with contraction of *-y-*. The Biblical Hebrew and Ugaritic forms which are quoted by me are not homonymous with the NB/LB term, but resembling as they are based on the same root, but – as I pointed out – have a different meaning since they refer to a mythical monster.

In addition to the impressive number of adjectives of the type *qattūl-t-ān*, this compound suffix is attached to other nominal formations as well:²⁾

Mand. *q'rb'tn'*, OSyr. *qrbtn'* “warlike, bellicose” (> JBA *qrpdn'*), *hlytn'* “powerful, robust”; *b'yrtn'* “wild, rough, brutal” (= *b'yrn'*); *zywt()n'* “shining, bright, distinguished” (JBA *zywt()n* is rendered as “proud” by Sokoloff 2002: 407b); *ryh'n'* “fragrant” (JPA *ryh'n'*,³⁾ to *ryh'*); MHeb. *krstn'* “with a thick belly” (cf. Akkad. *karšānu*, below, D); *zhtn'* “proud” (JAram. *zhwh'*); OSyr. *hrt'n'* “quarrelsome”; *kmnytn'* “insidious”; *k'bt'n'* “unwell, suffering” (cf. Mand. *kaiba* “aching”, Macuch 1965: 180:123); JPA *pilt'n'* “perverter” (|| *pilt'n*), JAram. *rbt'n'* “enormous, huge”; *r'bt'n'* “greedy, gluttonous” (cf. JBA *r'bt'n'h'*); MHeb. *šytnyt'* “female listener”; BHeb. *'qltwn* “crooked” (Ug. *'qalt-at-ān'*, see Tropper 2012: 272:51.46, e). The suffix is attached to a non-Semitic noun in OSyr. *nhšyrt'n'* “hunter”, which is based on *nhšyr* < MPers. *naxčīr* “game, chase”.

The following adjectives look as if they end in the same compound suffix, but in reality their adjectivising suffix is merely *-ān* which is attached to substantives ending in *-(V)t* (for the same adjectivising suffix attached to nouns without this ending, cf. below, D):

OSyr. *hmt'n'* “given to anger” (from *hmt'* “ardor”, cf. *hmtny'*), *'ktn'* “angry” (from *'kt'* “boiling heat”, i.e. in a figurative sense) and *nqbt'n'* “womanly” (based on *nqhb*, det. *nqbt'*):⁴⁾ JPA and MHeb. *gywt'n'* “proud, haughty, arrogant” (from *g'wh'* “pride, haughtiness”), JPA *'nwt'n'* “meek, humble” (to Mand. *'nwt'* “condescension”, cf. Heb. *'nwh* “humility”) = JPA *'nwwn'*; OSyr. *bhwt'n'* “modest” (from *bhwt'* “modesty”), MHeb. *prst'n'* “with a big claw” (*prsh*), OSyr. *s'rt'n'* “hairy” (from *s'rt'* “hair”, cf. JPA *s'rn'*, *s'rtyy'*, *s'rnyy'* “hairy”), JPA *'ymtn'* “fearsome, terrifying” (cf. *'ymtny'* in Onkelos, from *'ymh'*, “fear”, det. *'ymth*); Mand. *rbwt'n'* “proud, haughty, arrogant” (from *rbwt'* “pride, arrogance”); *qnt'n'* (fem. *qntnyt'*) “jealous” (from *qn()h'*, det. *qn()th* “jealousy”, cf. JPA *qn'n'* “jealous”), OSyr. *yd'wtn'* “intellectual, learned” (cf. JBA *yd'wt'*, Mand. *y'dwt'* “knowledge”); *gbrtn'* (fem. *gbrtnyt'*) “heroic, strong” (to *gbr'* /*gibbārā'* “hero”, or rather based on the abstract noun **gbrt*, extant in Mand. *gbarta* “strength, manhood”, cf. Macuch 1965: 181: 124, b in fine) = MHeb. *gbrtn'*; OSyr. *rgtn'* “lascivious, greedy” (from *rgt'* “desire, longing, eagerness”), Mand. *r'kt'n'* “voluptuous” (both derive from R-G-G “to desire greatly”, the latter with *g > k*); cf. Mand. *gdwlt'ny'* (“ringlet-spirits”, from *gdwlt'* “lock”, see Macuch 1965: 195-196 with n. 120, substantivized), OSyr. *rhmt'n'* “clement” (apparently based on *rhmt'* “love, lust” which derives from R-Ḥ-M “to love, pity, have mercy”) and perhaps Mand. *'wtn'* “powerful, violent” (cf. *'ywt* “power”, Macuch 1965: 196: 143b). JBA *hyrt'n'* “having a protrusion” is also based on a substantive ending in *-t*, cf. MHeb. *hwrt'* “hump” on the one hand and Mand. *h'ī'r't'* “mounds, humps” on the other. The same pattern, i.e. substantive + adjectivising *-ān*, is extant in Akkad. *tukultānu* “trustworthy” (from *tukultu*); *tābtānu* “doer of good” (from *tābtu* “good deed”); *urbatānu* “overgrown with rushes” (from *urbātu* “rush, reed”); *usātānu* “charitable, generous” (from *usātu* “help, assistance”); BHeb. *nhštn* “bronze serpent” (from *nhšt* “copper, bronze” as a votive venerated object in the temple). Perhaps late BHeb. *Ydwtwn* (var. *Ydytwn*), a clan name which originally refers to a guild (of musicians), is of the same type, if its base was originally a substantive *ydw't* (the suffix is *-t + -ān < -ōn < -ān*). JBA has the substantive *šybt'n'* “shuttle” (Sokoloff 2002: 959a).

Some OB < Am. anthroponyms end with *-at-ān* (see Streck 2000: 315: 4.9, 342-343, 345-347, 351: 5.77). LB has *Har-ba-ta-nu* and *Ra-mat-ta-ni* (cf. Zadok 1978: 113, 169). OB < Am. toponyms with the same compound suffix are *Ba-ni-a-ta-an^{ki}*, *Ku-ba-ta-a-nu/Ku-ba-ta-ni^{ki}*, *Ma-nu-ha-ta-an^{ki}*, as well as *A-ri-ta-na-IA^{ki}* and *Si-ba-ta-ni-tum* (Rép. géogr. 3: 21, 38, 142, 144, 159, 307, s.vv.; the two last toponyms are apparently originally gentilics). Later toponyms with the same compound suffix are with Canaanite *ā > ō*: EA *Hi-in-na-tu-ni/Hi-na-tu-na^{ki}*, NA *Hi-na-tu-na* = OT *Ḥntwn*. OT has also *Gbtwn* (NA *Gab-bu-tu-nu*), *Pr'twn*, and without this Canaanite shift *Qrt'n* (var. *Qrth*, to *qrt* “town”) and *Šrt'n* (related to GN *Šrt*), cf. Talm. *Hmt'n*

(to *Hmt*). Modern Palestinian *Ja 'tūn* originates from *G 'twn* (with $\bar{a} > \bar{o}$, cf. with $-t-m$ the OT clan name *G 'tm*, Septuagint Γωθθαμ, Γοθουμ). For the suffix in ancient Levantine toponyms and their modern survivals see Wild 1973: 196. An Akkadian substantive, which apparently ends in the same compound suffix, is *šalbatānu* “planet Mars” (MB, NB/LB, NA).⁵ This combination plus $-ū$ ($< -īyu$) is extant in *hallutānū* “tuft of black hair from the hind legs of a donkey” (SB), which is related to *hallu* “hind legs of an animal” (notably donkey, OB, SB, NA, AHw.: 312b, s.v. *hallu* I, 313a; CAD H: 45, s.v. *hallu* A; 48a, s.v. *hallutānū*). Another example is *imtanū* “tuft of black hair from the rump of a donkey” which is related to *imū* “tuft of black hair from the forehead legs of a donkey” (both SB lex., AHw.: 379b; CAD I/J: 139a, 141b, cf. GAG: 86:56r). From the typological (but not functional) point of view, this compound suffix, viz. $-t-ān-ū < -*t-ān-iy-$, is comparable to the above-mentioned $-t-ān-āy$ of *r 'bnt 'h*, as well as to $-ān-iy-$ of *karšānū*, $-ān-āy$ of *'ymtny*, *hmtny* and *š 'rnyy* (for parallels cf. below, D).

The feminine marker $-t$ is omitted before $-ān$ in JBA *twl' / 'n* “worm-coloured” (to *twl' t* “worm”, Sokoloff 2002: 1197b).

2. *gil(a)du* “leather” in the Ebabbar and Eanna archives is considered a plural by Bongenaar (see just below). He does not elaborate, but he was apparently inspired by the general phenomenon of broken plurals in certain West Semitic dialects. This is not supported by the context for one would expect that this form will exclusively refer to multiple units, which is not the case: [x] units (Camb. 71, 1, 7) are mentioned on 7.VIII.1 Camb. = 529 BC, while ^{kuš}*gi-l[a-du]* occurs in a text where the number of units is not indicated (Bongenaar 1993: BM 63917, 2). Moreover, the form without $-a-$, viz. ^{kuš}*gi-il-du*, refers to 2 and 27 units (Bongenaar, NB *Ebabbar*: 398, n. 347: BM 75181, 3 and YOS 6, 180 respectively). Bongenaar (NB *Ebabbar*: 550b, s.v. *gildu*) renders it as “cowskin”, but on 399 he has “cowskin?” and on 413-414 “hide(s)” (more references in van Driel 1993: 241 with n. 138).

3-4. NB/LB *gi-ra-A+A* and *ma-gal-la-a* (Zadok 2020a: 4) – There are more designations of occupations and professions in Aramaic which end in $-āy$ or are contracted from it. They are attached to substantives in JBA *'bwl 'h* (perhaps “gate watchman”), *'rb 'h* “boatman”, *bwš 'h* “maker of linen cloth” (to *bwš 'h*), *bz 'h* “falconer” (to *bz 'h*, Sokoloff 2002: 74b, 163a, 191b, 194a), *gyld 'h* “leather worker” (to *gyld 'h* “leather”),⁶ *pšt 'h* “one who explains”, *ptwr 'h* “money changer”, *qyn 'h* “metal worker”, *qyr 'h* “dealer in bitumen” (to *qyr 'h*),⁷ *šwq 'h* “market vendor”, *twr 'h* “corselet maker”, *trbš 'h* “student”, *trmd 'h* “gatherer of *trmd*’-plant”, and perhaps *twr 'h* “cattle dealer(?)”. The suffix is also attached to nomina agentis of the *qātūl*-formation (generally occupational terms), e.g., *'mwd 'h* “diver”, *gšwš 'h* “sounder of a depth”, *knwš 'h* “sweeper”, *mšwh 'h* “surveyor”, *qbwr 'h* “grave digger”, *qpw 'h* “one who uncovers”, *špwk 'h* “pouder (of wine)”, *trwp 'h* “expert on animal defects”, *zwh 'h* “sprinkler”, as well as *prwm 'h* “burglar” (Sokoloff 2002: 139a, 306a, 414a, 515-516, 588a, 712a, 929b, 978a, 1031, 1124a, 1169b, 1199b, 1217b, 1231a, 1235a). Its merely adjectival denotation is preserved in the behavioral designation JBA *plg 'h* “disputatious”; cf. *šlt 'h* “empowered” (Sokoloff 2002: 911a, 1148b).

5. *ha-lil-a-nu* (pl. of *hālihu*) – In addition to the multiple occurrences from Sippar (see Zadok 2020b: 4), there is a single occurrence in the Uruk documentation, where it is recorded in a undated letter (Weisberg, NB Texts, 162, 21) together with *qāp-pa-a-ti* (baskets made of palm leaves, cf. CAD Q: 92, s.v. *qappatu*, especially b where they are listed together with tools used in agriculture and digging).

6. *ma-as-tar* (Zadok 2020b: 5) - the interpretation of von Soden (AHw.: 637b, s.v.) as *mazkūtu* “Abdeckung von Verpflichtungen”, which is based on an alternative reading *ma-az-kut*, is not adopted by CAD (M/I: 438b).

B. Akkadian loanwords in Aramaic

1. *'klwš* < *atkaluššu* (Zadok 2020a: 1) – Regarding the assimilation of *t* before *k* cf. *tC > ti* in verbal forms, e.g. JAram. *myktb* < *mtktb* (cf. Litke 2018: 113).

2. *bqt* for for *bīt qātī* (É ŠU^{II}) – “building wing (used as workroom or storehouse)” (CAD Q: 198-200) is noticed by Streck (2017: 192 ad 180:XXIV = Joannès 2001: 251-252:2 from 309 BC). He suggests alternative explanations of *b-*, viz. an abbreviation or a mistake. Perhaps it is an early example of Aram. *b(y)-* for *byt* in compounds. Aram. *byt-* has become *by-* > *b-* from this period onwards, cf. Mand. *Biqata*⁸) and JBA *byqt* (also defective spelling **bqt* in view of *bqty* “my *b*.”). The traditional explanation of the JBA compound which is followed by the modern lexicographers is $< by 'qt$ “hut” (cf. just below), *lit*. “narrow place, house of distress” following a Geonic commentary (see Sokoloff 2002: 205b, 217a). This explanation is phonologically defensible (JBA has *'qt*, but the Mandaean equivalent *'qt* (Sokoloff 2002: 878b) with weakening of /' is not exceptional in the late Aramaic dialect cluster of Babylonia). What is more relevant in my opinion is that the derivation of *byqt* from *by 'qt* is explicitly documented much earlier: A Babylonian sage (Amora) presents this explanation in the Babylonian Talmud Sabbath 77b, a passage where more popular etymologies of his are listed. The motivation of this reinterpretation (popular etymology) of *byqt* as *by 'qt* is clear: a building wing used as a workroom or a storehouse is generally smaller and narrower than the building which normally serves as dwelling. The rendering of JBA *byqt* as “hut” can be modified: the context does not rule out a denotation “workroom” or “storehouse” which is not contradictory to the traditional (but rather secondary) rendering, but stresses the functional aspects of the edifice in question.

3. *hlš* < *halšu* can be added to the almost exhaustive list of Akkadian loanwords in Aramaic (Kaufman 1974, Sokoloff 1976, 2005 and von Soden 1977). It is extant in *hlš tmr* which would mean either “(fortified) district” > “fortress”, i.e. “the palm’s fortress” (see van der Toorn 2018: 21 ad xvi, 7 who renders it as “a fortress of palms”) or as a toponym “the fortress of Tmr”.

C. A putative Akkadian (LB) form in an Aramaic milieu –

še-ra-ag-gu-’ is recorded in the archive of the Rēš temple in Seleucid Uruk. It is perhaps plural of Akkad. *širaku* (a by-form of *širku/šerku*) as cautiously suggested by Beaulieu (1989: 76 ad 4, 1). It is with the rare shift of intervocalic *k* > *g* as in NB/LB *a-ga-a* “this, that” which originates from Aram. *hk* (cf. Fales 1980: 264 and below).⁹⁾ This Akkadian term refers to members of the temple community who did not belong to the cultic personnel and had to fulfill *ilku*-obligations according to the rich pertinent documentation from the long 6th century BC (see Ragen 2006, *passim*). A plural or a collective is suggested by the context. If the final vowel represents the plural, then it is an archaizing attempt to insert the Akkadian *-ū* (nominative masculine pl.), while NB/LB generally has the oblique case for masculine plural, viz. *širkī* (> *širkē*). The base of the plural is once spelled with *-kk-* in SAL *ši-rak-ki^{mes}*; the latter may render *širakātu* (see CAD Š/3: 110: *šir(a)kātu*). The form with *-kk-* is also extant in the related NB/LB anthroponyms *Ši-rik-kalki* (CAD Š/3: 110a with refs.) and *Še-ra-ak-ka* (Stolper 1985, 35, 13). The latter has the same formation as *še-ra-ag-gu-*’, the last member of the trio ^{lú}*ša-bi*, ^{lú}*ki-niš-tu₄* and *š.*, all referring to different classes of temple personnel. The shift *k* > *g* may be due to the Aramaic milieu of this very late occurrence within LB. This shift is recorded in Eastern Aramaic, viz. Mandaic (see Macuch 1965: 76:44) and Jewish Babylonian Aramaic. For the latter cf. *kwpr*’ > *gwpr*’, *glwpr*’ and *gyndrwps*, whose Greek sources has *κ-* and perhaps *gmš* < Akkad. *kamāšu* (Sokoloff 2002: 271b, 281b, 285b, 293b, 565). The geminated *g* may reflect pretonic lengthening in an open penultimate syllable, a phenomenon which possibly took place in certain Aramaic dialects (cf. Blau 1978: 101–102: 7 and *passim*). The final vowel may alternatively render a collective, like the preceding members of the trio, viz. ^{lú}*ša-bi* and ^{lú}*ki-niš-tu₄* (the former has also a plural form which the scribe chose not to use here). The Aramaic feminine suffix *-ū* (< *-w*>, det. *-wt*, cf. Tal 2013: 97:6.1.7.3), can serve in this case as a collective, cf., e.g., JBA and OSyr. *hbrwt*’ “companionship” as well as JBA *glwt*’ “exiles”. On the whole, the term may have a low degree of absorption in Aramaic: it may be surmised that *še-ra-ag-gu-*’ did not survive as a loan in the Aramaic dialect of southern Mesopotamia, viz. Mandaic, due to the abolishment of the institution (the pagan temple) in the early Sasanian period.

D. Adjectivising suffixes

-ān is extant in e.g., Akkad. *ṭēmānu* “wise” and *tamkarānu* “mercantile” < “like a merchant” from *ṭēmu* and *tamkaru* respectively. This adjectival suffix, which is extant in JPA *rym*([’])*n*, SA *r’mn* lit. “wild-ox-like”, is rendered simply as “wild ox”, in which case it would be synonymous with *r’m* (cf. Sokoloff 2017: 600b). One should ask why the suffix was inserted here. Perhaps it refers to a related but different species, compare the difference in meaning between the cognates Akkad. *rīmu* (< Proto-Sem. **ri’m*) “*Bos primigenius*” and CA *ri’m* “*Oryx leucoryx*” (Talshir 2012: 2). JBA *gl’d’n*’ (name of a fish) is apparently based on *gl’d* “skin”, cf. JBA G–L–D “to have a covering” and *gllnyt*’ means “stone-shaped”: it is based on *gll* + *-ān* (cf. the NB/LB name *Ga-la-la-nu*, Zadok 1978: 118, 160) and a feminine suffix (cf. JBA *mrnyt*’ “woolly” to *mr*’ “wool”), as well as *kalbānu* “dog-like”, *patrānu* “sword-like”, *puḡlānu* “radish-like”, *šūqdānu* “almond-like”, *šizbānu* “milky plant” (= *hi-la-ba-nu*), i.e., “with milk-like juice” (also referring to milk-fed lamb) and *HAR-da-ta-nu* describing a date palm, perhaps “shaped like a cross-beam” (*hurdatu*, see AHw.: 358b; differently Cocquerillat 1973–1974: 100).

The compound suffix *-ān* + *-ī* is extant in Akkad. *amānū*, *bārānū*, *ālānū*, *ilānū*, *lumnānū* (see GAG: 86:56r), *karšānū* “with a thick belly” (CAD K: 223b, cf. above, A; *bārānū* is based on *bārtu* with omission of the feminine marker according to AHw.: 106a, in which case it would be analogous to JBA *twl’/’n*’, above, A, 1 in fine), *šillānū* “providing shade” (CAD Š: 188b), *habšānū* (referring to a quality of wool, AHw.: 305b), and *tīnānū* “fig like”. The latter is a by-form of *tīnānu* (both MB, CAD T: 419–420), just as *qarnānū* “horned” and *rā’imānū* “affectionate” have almost the same denotation as *qarnānu* “with (large) horns” and *rā’imānu* “lover” respectively (CAD Q: 133–134; R: 81–82), and *kayamānu* is synonymous with *kayamānū* “normal, regular, usual” (CAD K: 36–38).¹⁰⁾ This compound suffix is typologically comparable to Aram. *-ān-ī* and *ān-āy* (above, A, 1 in fine). *Kūšānū* seems to have the same denotation as *kūšāyu* “wintry” (cf. AHw.: 515b, CAD K: 593–594 and GAG: 85:56p), i.e. with interchange of *-ān-ī* and *-āy* and *šakrānū* is synonymous with *šakru* “drunk” (CAD Š/1: 192).

E. Akkad. *naggār lē’i* – Ahhēšā (ŠEŠ^{mes}-š*á-a*), carpenter of a writing board (^{lú}NAGAR ^{gīs}DA), was perhaps from Borsippa in view of an anthroponym with Mār-bīti, viz. Mār-bīti-iddina (^dA.É- MU) son of Bēl-ahhē-bullit (^d+EN-ŠEŠ^{mes}-TIN (line 2), which occurs in the same source. He is recorded in a NB/LB administrative list from 14.XII (no year and RN, SC 68, 5, 6, unpublished tablet in Smith College, Northampton, MA).¹¹⁾ For various categories of carpenters see CAD N/1: 113–114, s.v. *naggāru*, b and cf. Zadok 2012: xlv:1.2.2.1 in fine.

F. On Akkadian names in Aramaic texts and docketts – PN *br Np̄ly* denotes “PN descendant of the Nappāhu clan” (Streck 2017: 174:107); *-y* very probably renders here the gentilic */*-āy/* (status absolutus; the determinate status is rendered by *-y'*, see Streck 2017: 189 ad 192 with n. 118).- ARHUŠ-GAR- a restoration *R[w]škn* is more likely than *R[m]škn* (Streck 2017: 184:4) in view of the phonetic spellings LB *Re-e-mu-šukun* (Nippur, 5.V.35 Art. I = 430 BC, Donbaz and Stolper 1997, 97, 2) and *Re-šu-kun-nu* (Nippur, 21.II.38 Art. I = 427 BC, Stolper 1985, 103, 6, 9 <nu>, 10), very probably for one and the same individual. The shift *m > w* took place only when the original Akkadian (Babylonian) */m/* is intervocalic (cf., e.g., the related name ^{d+}AG-*re-man-ni* = Aram. *Nbwrwn*, Streck 2017: 183:1). In this case, a normalization *Rēm-šukun* for the ARHUŠ-GAR, which is understandable for late Babylonian, a dialect devoid of case endings, cannot be the point of departure. It may be envisaged that the shift of intervocalic *-m-* to *-w-* in this case took place when the name was still pronounced *RēmV-šukun* (presumably *Rēma-šukun*), or was the *-m-* heard like a sonant one?– The brick inscription retains the *-'* of *Nbwn'd* (see Streck 2017: 185:6) presumably because it is an official (royal) document (Sass and Marzahn 2010: 170:30), where the scribe rendered accurately the Babylonian original.- *'dnbw* < Iddin(a)-Nabû:¹²) in the segmentation cf. *Byt'llwny* (*Bayt-'il* + G perf. 3rd sg. m. of L-W/Y-Y “to accompany” + acc. suff. 1st sg. *-ny*, Sass and Marzahn 2010: 166:9). - The forms *Nuska* (Babylonian) and *Na/ušuh* (*/Na/usuh/*, Neo-Assyrian, cf. Streck 2017: 191) may indicate that the *u* after the initial consonant is short, in which case Aram. *Nwšklny* is a plene spelling. Therefore, *[’n]wbls?* = ^d60-TIN-*su* */Anu-uballissu/* (Streck 2017: 176:144) can alternatively render *Anu-bullissu*. Regarding Streck 2017: 172:51, the deed BE 8, 51 (CBS 3539), 1 has [^dUT]U-ŠEŠ-MU (son of Nergal-iddina, collated by me in 2015).

Notes

1. Abbreviations (mostly of editions of cuneiform texts) are as in A.L. Oppenheim et al. (eds.), *The Assyrian Dictionary of the Oriental Institute of the University of Chicago* (Chicago and Glückstadt 1956-2010), unless otherwise indicated. The months (in Roman figures) are the Babylonian ones. Non-bibliographical abbreviations: Am. = Amorite; Aram. = Aramaic; BHeb. = Biblical Hebrew; CA = Classical Arabic; det. = determinate state; JAram. = Jewish Aramaic; JBA = Jewish Babylonian Aramaic; JPA = Jewish Palestinian Aramaic; Mand. = Mandaic; MHeb. = Middle Hebrew; MPers. = Middle Persian; OSyr. = Old Syriac; SA = Samaritan Aramaic; Sem. = Semitic.

2. NA *ma-qa-al-ta-a-nu* is apparently with a *m*-preformative, but its *-n* has been interpreted as fem. pl. by Fales 2007: 117.

3. Extant in *prds r.* (Sokoloff 2017: 600b), cf. JBA (and Targum of Esther) and OSyr. *bwstn'*, Mand. *bwst'n'* < Middle Iranian **bōstān* (MPers. *bōyestān*) “orchard” (of fruits), “grove” (notably of planted cedars, distinct from *prds* “vineyard”, cf. Sokoloff 2002: 191b) < Old Iranian **bauda-stāna* “the place of smell, fragrance”.

4. Cf. the antonym JBA *dykrny't'* (hapax, presumably for **dykrny't'* due to the frequent graphic interchange *w/y* in texts with square Jewish scripts) which renders MHeb. *'ylwnyt* “man-like woman”. They are based on *dykr* (cf. JBA *dykr'*) and *'yl* “male” < “ram”.

5. This planet name has no cognates according to AHW.: 1077a. It is apparently based on a *qatl*-formation of *Ṣ- L-B* “to impale, crucify”. For the latter root in Aramaic and Middle Hebrew as well as its Akkadian cognate *šalāpu* (SB, CAD *Ṣ*: 71a) see Moreshet 1980: 305-306. The Akkadian cognate denotes “to cross out, cancel, to distort, pervert”. Is it used figuratively in the naming of the star? (cf. the derived nominal forms OB, SB *šalpu*, OB, MB, LB *šiliptu* “diagonal”, NA *šilbu* “crosswise arrangement”).

6. Sokoloff 2002: 280 where the status designation *gyl'h* “of the same age”, based on *gyl* and ending in the same suffix, is listed. Another status designation, Aram. *'hr'y* (*'hr* + adjectival suff.) “responsible” is modelled on NB/LB *uškū* (< *urk-* + adj. suff., cf. Greenfield 1982: 478 = 2001: 212) “holder of prior claim” (see Weszeli 2007 and cf. CAD U/W: 301). JBA *yrhyn'h* “of the (new) moon” (*yrh*) is a designation of the Talmudic sage Samuel, who was also an astronomer (cf. Sokoloff 2002: 542, for an interchange *-ān/-īn-* in Mandaic see Macuch 1965: 196).

7. Sokoloff 2002: 943b, 945b, 1013-1014, 1016a; *'yhy dqyr'* (cf. Zadok 2014) was understood as “it (= the place) of the bitumen”, i.e. a case of reinterpretation of the toponym **Hy't* (*dqyr'*). Throughout history, the toponym ends in a dental consonant. The process of textual corruption can be reconstructed as **Hy't + dqyr'* with assimilation of the 1st (unvoiced) dental to the following unvoiced one, resulting in **Hy' dqyr'* which was reinterpreted as *'yhy dqyr'*.

8. It is listed as variant of **byqyt'*, which is extant in pl. *byqyt'* by Drower and Macuch 1963: 62b with an incorrect rendering “region, plane” comparing Bibl. Aram. *bq'h* and OSyr. *pq't'* “plain”. The context strongly suggests “a narrow house” as a degradation of a normal, spacious dwelling, which matches the JBA term as will be demonstrated presently. The Mandaic cognate is aptly compared with the JBA form by Sokoloff 2002: 205b, s.v. *byqt'*.

9. The shift is more common in NA, but unlike NB *sa-ga-ni-ia*, which is a loan from NA (see Zadok 2020a: 3), *šir(a)ku* is an exclusively Babylonian term. The only NA occurrence, viz. *lū še-er-ki*, is in a letter from central Babylonia concerning Babylonian oblates (ABL 1274 = Parpola, LAS 291, 13, see CAD *Š*: 108a, s.v. *širku* A, b, 2').

10. *Bītānū* is not analogous as it is not homonymous with *bītānu* “inner part, interior”, but an adjective thereof (CAD B: 274-276).

11. Quoted with kind permission of Dr. M. Antonetti, curator of the Rare Books Room of Smith College.

12. See Streck 2017: 188; and cf. Zadok 2020a: 5, but note the unique spelling *Id-di-na*-^{d+}A[G]/E[N] from Babylon, 22.VIII.28 Darius I = 494 BC (Wunsch, *Urkunden*, 18, 19).

Bibliography

- BEAULIEU, P.-A. 1989. Textes administratifs inédites d'époque hellénistique provenant des archives du Bit Rēš. *RA* 83: 53-87.
- BLAU, J. 1978. Hebrew Stress Shifts, Pretonic Lengthening, and Segolization: Possible Cases of Aramaic Interference in Hebrew Syllable Structure. *IOS* 8: 91-106.
- BONGENAAR, A.C.V.M. 1993. The Regency of Belšazzar. *NABU* 1993/41.
- COCQUERILLAT, D. 1973-1974. Recherches sur le verger campagnard de l'Ākītu (KIRI₆ ḥallat). *WO* 7: 96-134.
- DONBAZ, V. and STOLPER, M.W. 1997. *Istanbul Murašū Texts*. PIHANS 79. Leiden.
- VAN DRIEL, G. 1993. Neo-Babylonian Sheep and Goats. *BSA* 7: 219-258.
- DROWER, E.S. and MACUCH, R. 1963. *A Mandaic Dictionary*. Oxford.
- FALES, F.M. 1980. Accadico e aramaico: livelli dell'interferenza linguistica. *Vicino Oriente* 3: 243-267.
- 2007. Multilingualism on Multiple Media in the Neo-Assyrian Period: A Review of the Evidence. *SAAB* 16: 95-122.
- GREENFIELD, J.C. 1982. Babylonian-Aramaic Relationships, in Nissen, H.-J. and Renger, J. (eds.), *Mesopotamien und seine Nachbarn: politische und kulturelle Wechselbeziehungen im alten Vorderasien vom 4. Bis 1. Jahrtausend v.Chr.* Akten des XXVe RAI, Berlin, 3. Bis 7. Juli 1978. BBVO 1. Berlin: 471-482.
- 2001. 'Al Kanfei Yonah: Collected Studies of Jonas C. Greenfield on Semitic Philology 1, 2 (ed. Paul, S.M., Stone, M.E. and Pinnick, A.). Leiden and Jerusalem.
- JOANNÈS, F. 2001. Les débuts de l'époque hellénistique à Larsa, in Breniquet, C. and Kepinski, Ch. (eds.), *Études Mésopotamiennes. Recueil de textes offert à Jean-Louis Huot*. Paris: 249-264.
- KAUFMAN, S.A. 1974. *The Akkadian Influences on Aramaic*. AS 19. Chicago.
- LITKE, A.W. 2018. *Targum Song of Songs and Late Jewish Literary Aramaic: Language, Lexicon, Text, and Translation*. Supplements to Aramaic Studies 15. Leiden and Boston.
- MACUCH, R. 1965. *Handbook of Classical and Modern Mandaic*. Berlin.
- MORESHET, M. 1980. *A Lexicon of the New Verbs in Tannaitic Hebrew*. Ramat Gan (in Hebrew).
- NÖLDEKE, Th. 1904. *Compendious Syriac Grammar*. London.
- RAGEN, A. 2006. *The Neo-Babylonian širku: A Social History*. Dissertation, Harvard University. Ann Arbor.
- SASS, B. and MARZAHN, J. 2010. *Aramaic and Figural Stamp Impressions on Bricks of the Sixth Century B.C. from Babylon*. Ausgrabungen der Deutschen Orient-Gesellschaft in Babylon 10. WDOG 127. Wiesbaden.
- VON SODEN, W. 1977. Review of Kaufman 1974. *JSS* 36: 318-319.
- SOKOLOFF, M. 1976. Review of Kaufman 1974. *Kiriat Sefer* 51: 464-473 (in Hebrew).
- 2002. *A Dictionary of Jewish Babylonian Aramaic of the Talmudic and Geonic Periods*. Dictionaries of Talmud, Midrash and Targum 3 and Publications of the Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon Project. Ramat Gan.
- 2005. New Akkadian Loanwords in Jewish Babylonian Aramaic, in Sefati, Y. et al. (eds.), "An Experienced Scribe Who Neglects Nothing". *Ancient Near Eastern Studies in Honor of Jacob Klein*. Bethesda: 575-586.
- 2017. *A Dictionary of Jewish Palestinian Aramaic of the Byzantine Period*. Dictionaries of Talmud, Midrash and Targum 1 and Publications of the Comprehensive Aramaic Lexicon Project. 3rd Revised and Expanded Edition. Ramat Gan.
- STOLPER, M.W. 1985. *Entrepreneurs and Empire: The Murašū Archive, the Murašū Firm, and Persian Rule in Babylonia*. PIHANS 54. Istanbul.
- STRECK, M.P. 2000. *Das amurritische Onomastikon der altbabylonischen Zeit. I: Die Amurriter, die onomastische Forschung, Orthographie und Phonologie, Nominalmorphologie*. AOAT 271/1. Münster.
- 2017. Late Babylonian Aramaic Epigraphs on Cuneiform Tablets, in Berlejung, A., Maeir, A.M. and Schüle, A. (eds.), *Wandering Arameans: Arameans outside Syria*. LAOS 5. Wiesbaden: 169-194.
- TAL, A. 2013. *Samaritan Aramaic*. Textbooks of Near Eastern Languages 3/2. Münster.
- TALSHIR, D. 2012. *Living Names: Fauna, Places and Humans*. Asuppot 6. Jerusalem (in Hebrew).
- VAN DER TOORN, K. 2018. *Papyrus Amherst 63*. AOAT 448. Münster.
- TROPPER, J. 2012. *Ugaritische Grammatik*. AOAT 273. 2 nd ed. Münster.
- WESZELI, M. 2007. Zur Bedeutung von *uškū* und *uškūtu*. *WZKM* 97: 561-577.
- WILD, S. 1973. *Libanesische Ortsnamen: Typologie und Deutung*. Beirut Texte und Studien 9. Beirut.
- ZADOK, R. 1978. *On West Semites in Babylonia during the Chaldean and Achaemenian Periods: An Onomastic Study*. Tel Aviv.
- Occupations and Status Categories (Classes) in Borsippa, in Shahar, Y., Oppenheimer, A. and Mustigman, R. (eds.), *Israel and the Diaspora in the Time of the Second Temple and the Mishna. Ariele Kasher Memorial Volume*. Teudah 25. Tel Aviv: xxxi-lxiii.
- 2014. Htt in Sūhu. *KASKAL* 11: 1-22.
- 2020a. Arameo-Akkadica. *NABU* 2020/27.
- 2020b. Arameo-Akkadica II. *NABU* 2020/128.
- 2020c. Four Loanwords in Neo-/Late-Babylonian. *NABU* 2020/129.

Ran ZADOK <zadokr@tauex.tau.ac.il>
Tel Aviv University, Ramat-Aviv 69778 (ISRAEL)